

# Data & Information Department

Data and Information Audit				
Data compiled by:	KR			
Checked by:	PA			
Data valid at:	04/12/2020			
Approved	for Publication			
Approved by:	DL			
Date Approved by:	11/06/21			



# Background

Workload Modelling uses the resources provided in the various scenarios to simulate an emergency appliance turnout response to incidents that have occurred historically over three years (2017/18, 2018/19 and 2019/20). These scenarios are then compared to a Base Case to establish the emergency appliance turnout response using the current resources.

The Workload Modeller uses the Ordnance Survey (OS) Integrated Transport Network (ITN) road network and speeds assigned to those roads to determine the time taken to travel to each incident using the nearest available and appropriate resource.

The road speeds used are:

Road Type	Road Speed (MPH)
Motorway	60
A Road	50
B Road	25
Minor Road	20
Local Street	20
Pedestrianised Street	5
Alley	5
Private Road	20

## Notes on Data

Within the station based tables, the workload modeller treats reduced callout numbers (-) as a positive and colour codes them green, however reduced target achievement (-) is thought of as a negative and coloured red.

# Proposal A

- 16 whole-time cat 01 appliances based at Stn A, Stn C, Stn E, Stn F, Stn G, Stn H, Stn J, Stn K, Stn M, Stn N, Stn Q, Stn S, Stn T, Stn V, Stn W and Stn Y.
- 8 whole-time cat 02 appliances based at Stn A, Stn C, Stn F, Stn J, Stn K, Stn N, Stn Q and Stn V.
- 1 on-call cat 02 appliance based at Stn Z to be available on a 5 min recall to duty.

# Service Impact

Proposal A would result in 70 additional callouts within target time. The average attendance time for the Service for all callouts would reduce by 2 seconds.

Service	Proposal A	Callouts	In Target	% of Callouts in Target	Average Attendance Time
	Base Case	67255	64416	95.78%	00:04:35
All Risk Levels	Proposal A	67255	64486	95.88%	00:04:33
	Difference	0	70	0.10%	00:00:02

# Service Impact by Risk Level

The average attendance time for the 2<sup>nd</sup> appliance at a Risk Level 1 and Risk Level 2 incident would reduce by 4 seconds.

Proposal A -	Proposal A – Service Comparison									
Risk Level	Arrival Order	Proposal	Callouts	In Target	% of Callouts in Target	Average Attendance Time				
	1 st	Base case	9983	8524	85.39%	00:04:18				
	Appliance	Proposal A	9983	8531	85.46%	00:04:18				
Risk Level 1	Appliance	Difference	0	7	0.07%	00:00:00				
RISK Level I	2 <sup>nd</sup> Appliance	Base case	7424	6806	91.68%	00:04:55				
		Proposal A	7424	6853	92.31%	00:04:51				
		Difference	0	47	0.63%	00:00:04				
	1 st	Base case	2947	2590	87.89%	00:04:11				
	Appliance	Proposal A	2947	2592	87.95%	00:04:11				
Dials Layel 2	Appliance	Difference	0	2	0.07%	00:00:00				
Risk Level 2	2 <sup>nd</sup>	Base case	1562	1442	92.32%	00:04:48				
	Appliance	Proposal A	1562	1452	92.96%	00:04:44				
	Аррнансе	Difference	0	10	0.64%	00:00:04				

# Proposal A - Station Impact

1.1	
	Station Overview – Proposal A - All Risk Levels
	Station Overview – Proposal A - All Risk Levels

Station	Proposal	Callouts	In Target	%
	Base case	1745	1639	93.93%
Birtley (W)	Proposal A	1735	1630	93.95%
	Difference	-10	-9	0.02%
	Base case	6636	6508	98.07%
Byker (F)	Proposal A	6523	6405	98.19%
	Difference	-113	-103	0.12%
	Base case	276	72	26.09%
Chopwell (Z)	Proposal A	276	72	26.09%
	Difference	0	0	0.00%
	Base case	3696	3485	94.29%
Farringdon (Q)	Proposal A	3678	3470	94.34%
	Difference	-18	-15	0.05%
	Base case	6425	6313	98.26%
Gateshead (V)	Proposal A	6182	6096	98.61%
	Difference	-243	-217	0.35%
	Base case	3442	3078	89.42%
Gosforth (E)	Proposal A	3314	2956	89.20%
	Difference	-128	-122	-0.23%
	Base case	2290	2230	97.38%
Hebburn (T)	Proposal A	2280	2221	97.41%
riebbulli (1)	Difference	-10	-9	0.03%
	Base case	1628	1531	94.04%
Marley Park (M)	Proposal A	1631	1535	94.04%
	Difference	3	4	0.07%
	Base case	11373	11166	98.18%
Nowcostla Control (C)		11140	10963	98.41%
Newcastle Central (C)	Proposal A Difference	-233	-203	0.23%
		1822	1675	
Deinten Bridge (U)	Base case			91.93% 91.93%
Rainton Bridge (H)	Proposal A Difference	1822	1675 0	
			5227	0.00%
South Chields (K)	Base case	5353		97.65%
South Shields (K)	Proposal A	5355 2	5230	97.67%
	Difference		3	0.02%
Sundarland Control (N)	Base case	7660	7517	98.13%
Sunderland Central (N)	Proposal A	7648	7503 -14	98.10%
	Difference	-12		-0.03%
	Base case	2511	2158	85.94%
Swalwell (Y)	Proposal A	2263	1954	86.35%
	Difference	-248	-204	0.40%
$T_{\rm M}$ are evide (1)	Base case	4383	4266	97.33%
Tynemouth (J)	Proposal A	4316	4206	97.45%
	Difference	-67	-60	0.12%
Molloand (O)	Base case	2656	2589	97.48%
Wallsend (G)	Proposal A	2638	2571	97.46%
	Difference	-18	-18	-0.02%
	Base case	2647	2469	93.28%
Washington (S)	Proposal A	2641	2464	93.30%
	Difference	-6	-5	0.02%
	Base case	2712	2493	91.92%
West Denton (A)	Proposal A	3813	3535	92.71%
	Difference	1101	1042	0.78%

Ĩ

		All Risk	RL1	RL1	RL2	RL2
Station	Proposal	Levels	1 <sup>st</sup> Appliance	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup> Appliance	2 <sup>nd</sup>
	Base case	00:05:11	<b>Appliance</b> 00:04:35	<b>Appliance</b> 00:06:57	<b>Appliance</b> 00:04:02	Appliance 00:06:48
Birtley (W)	Proposal A	00:05:11	00:04:35	00:06:57	00:04:02	00:06:53
Diffiey (VV)	Difference	00:00:01	00:04:35	00:00:08	00:00:01	00:00:05
	Base case	00:04:02	00:03:29	00:04:27	00:03:29	00:04:16
Byker (F)	Proposal A	00:04:02	00:03:29	00:04:27	00:03:29	00:04:18
Dykei (r)	Difference	00:04:00	00:00:28	00:04:25	00:00:28	00:00:02
	Base case	00:00:02	00:13:17	00:00:02	00:13:36	00:16:43
Chopwell (7)	Proposal A	00:14:17	00:13:17	00:16:17	00:13:36	00:16:43
Chopwell (Z)	Difference	00:00:00	00:00:00	00:00:00	00:00:00	00:00:00
	Base case	00:05:02	00:04:49	00:05:11	00:05:07	00:05:18
Farringdon (Q)	Proposal A	00:05:02	00:04:49	00:05:11	00:05:07	00:05:18
Faninguon (Q)	Difference	00:00:02	00:04:48	00:00:01	00:00:04	00:00:03
				00:04:41		
Catachead (V/)	Base case	00:04:23	00:03:47		00:03:40	00:05:01 00:04:57
Gateshead (V)	Proposal A	00:04:19	00:03:41	00:04:38	00:03:34	
	Difference	00:00:04	00:00:06	00:00:03	00:00:06	00:00:04
$C$ as farth $(\Gamma)$	Base case	00:05:48	00:05:58	00:07:04	00:05:29	00:07:23
Gosforth (E)	Proposal A	00:05:44	00:05:59	00:07:14	00:05:30	00:06:51
	Difference	00:00:04	00:00:01	00:00:10	00:00:01	00:00:32
	Base case	00:04:42	00:04:15	00:07:10	00:04:19	00:06:34
Hebburn (T)	Proposal A	00:04:40	00:04:14	00:07:07	00:04:20	00:06:30
	Difference	00:00:02	00:00:01	00:00:03	00:00:01	00:00:04
Marley Park (M)	Base case	00:04:58	00:04:20	00:06:09	00:04:33	00:05:45
	Proposal A	00:04:57	00:04:20	00:06:07	00:04:33	00:05:45
	Difference	00:00:01	00:00:00	00:00:02	00:00:00	00:00:00
Newcastle Central (C)	Base case	00:03:56	00:03:33	00:04:20	00:03:30	00:04:21
	Proposal A	00:03:51	00:03:30	00:04:16	00:03:27	00:04:17
	Difference	00:00:05	00:00:03	00:00:04	00:00:03	00:00:04
	Base case	00:05:34	00:05:25	00:05:48	00:05:17	00:05:58
Rainton Bridge (H)	Proposal A	00:05:34	00:05:25	00:05:47	00:05:20	00:05:45
	Difference	00:00:00	00:00:00	00:00:01	00:00:03	00:00:13
	Base case	00:04:23	00:04:14	00:04:54	00:04:12	00:04:58
South Shields (K)	Proposal A	00:04:22	00:04:13	00:04:52	00:04:12	00:04:58
	Difference	00:00:01	00:00:01	00:00:02	00:00:00	00:00:00
	Base case	00:03:58	00:03:39	00:04:04	00:03:31	00:03:52
Sunderland Central (N)	Proposal A	00:03:58	00:03:39	00:04:04	00:03:32	00:03:50
	Difference	00:00:00	00:00:00	00:00:00	00:00:01	00:00:02
	Base case	00:06:14	00:06:11	00:07:17	00:06:29	00:07:09
Swalwell (Y)	Proposal A	00:06:06	00:06:10	00:07:02	00:06:29	00:06:45
	Difference	00:00:08	00:00:01	00:00:15	00:00:00	00:00:24
	Base case	00:04:18	00:04:04	00:04:33	00:03:57	00:04:16
Tynemouth (J)	Proposal A	00:04:17	00:04:03	00:04:32	00:03:56	00:04:13
	Difference	00:00:01	00:00:01	00:00:01	00:00:01	00:00:03
	Base case	00:04:19	00:03:49	00:06:35	00:03:46	00:06:19
Wallsend (G)	Proposal A	00:04:18	00:03:49	00:06:37	00:03:46	00:06:13
	Difference	00:00:01	00:00:00	00:00:02	00:00:00	00:00:06
	Base case	00:05:08	00:04:51	00:06:42	00:04:47	00:07:02
Washington (S)	Proposal A	00:05:08	00:04:51	00:06:42	00:04:47	00:07:02
	Difference	00:00:00	00:00:00	00:00:00	00:00:00	00:00:00
	Base case	00:05:23	00:04:50	00:08:43	00:04:47	00:09:07
West Denton (A)	Proposal A	00:05:24	00:05:00	00:06:23	00:04:52	00:06:44
	Difference	00:00:01	00:00:10	00:02:20	00:00:05	00:02:23

# Proposal B

Reinstate H to wholetime and W to DC(OC) 30 mins

- 15 whole-time cat 01 appliances based at Stn A, Stn C, Stn E, Stn F, Stn G, Stn H, Stn J, Stn K, Stn M, Stn N, Stn Q, Stn S, Stn T, Stn V, and Stn Y.
- 1 day crewing cat 01 appliances based at Stn W between 08:00hr and 20:00hr (then On Call 2000-0800 with delay 30min).
- 8 whole-time cat 02 appliances based at Stn A, Stn C, Stn F, Stn J, Stn K, Stn N, Stn Q and Stn V.
- 1 on-call cat 02 appliance based at Stn Z to be available on a 5 min recall to duty.

## Service Impact

.

Proposal B would result in 31 less callouts within target time. The average attendance time for the Service for all callouts would not change.

Service	Proposal B	Callouts	In Target	% of Callouts in Target	Average Attendance Time
All Risk Levels	Base Case	67255	64416	95.78%	00:04:35
	Proposal B	67255	64385	95.73%	00:04:35
	Difference	0	-31	-0.05%	00:00:00

## Service Impact by Risk Level

The average attendance time for the 1st appliance at a Risk Level 1 and a Risk Level 2 incident would increase by 1 second.

The average attendance time for the 2<sup>nd</sup> appliance at a Risk Level 1 incident would reduce by 3 seconds and the 2<sup>nd</sup> appliance at a Risk Level 2 incident would reduce by 2 seconds.

Proposal B – Service Comparison									
Risk Level	Arrival Order	Proposal	Callouts	In Target	% of Callouts in Target	Average Attendance Time			
	1 st	Base case	9983	8524	85.39%	00:04:18			
	Appliance	Proposal B	9983	8457	84.71%	00:04:19			
Risk Level 1	Appliance	Difference	0	-67	-0.67%	00:00:01			
	2 <sup>nd</sup> Appliance	Base case	7424	6806	91.68%	00:04:55			
		Proposal B	7424	6777	91.29%	00:04:52			
		Difference	0	-29	-0.39%	00:00:03			
	t at	Base case	2947	2590	87.89%	00:04:11			
	1 <sup>st</sup> Appliance	Proposal B	2947	2574	87.34%	00:04:12			
Diale Laval 2	Аррнансе	Difference	0	-16	-0.54%	00:00:01			
Risk Level 2	2 <sup>nd</sup>	Base case	1562	1442	92.32%	00:04:48			
	-	Proposal B	1562	1440	92.19%	00:04:46			
	Appliance	Difference	0	-2	-0.13%	00:00:02			

ation	B - All Risk Levels Proposal	Callouts	In Target	8
	Base case	1745	1639	93.93%
Birtley (W)	Proposal B	731	676	92.48%
Billey (W)	Difference	-1014	-963	-1.45%
	Base case	6636	6508	98.07%
Byker (F)	Proposal B	6534	6415	98.18%
	Difference	-102	-93	0.11%
	Base case	276	72	26.09%
Chopwell (Z)	Proposal B	141	74	52.48%
	Difference	-135	2	26.40%
	Base case	3696	3485	94.29%
Farringdon (Q)	Proposal B	3693	3403	94.29%
r anniguon (Q)	Difference	-3	-6	-0.09%
	Base case	6425	6313	98.26%
Cataabaad ()/)				
Gateshead (V)	Proposal B	6801	6587	96.85%
	Difference	376	274	-1.40%
	Base case	3442	3078	89.42%
Gosforth (E)	Proposal B	3313	2955	89.19%
	Difference	-129	-123	-0.23%
	Base case	2290	2230	97.38%
Hebburn (T)	Proposal B	2395	2299	95.99%
	Difference	105	69	-1.39%
Marley Park (M)	Base case	1628	1531	94.04%
	Proposal B	1640	1544	94.15%
	Difference	12	13	0.10%
Newcastle Central (C)	Base case	11373	11166	98.18%
	Proposal B	11206	11001	98.17%
	Difference	-167	-165	-0.01%
Rainton Bridge (H)	Base case	1822	1675	91.93%
	Proposal B	1840	1686	91.63%
	Difference	18	11	-0.30%
	Base case	5353	5227	97.65%
South Shields (K)	Proposal B	5361	5235	97.65%
	Difference	8	8	0.00%
	Base case	7660	7517	98.13%
Newcastle Central (C) Rainton Bridge (H)	Proposal B	7654	7505	98.05%
	Difference	-6	-12	-0.08%
	Base case	2511	2158	85.94%
Swalwell (Y)	Proposal B	2366	2020	85.38%
. ,	Difference	-145	-138	-0.57%
	Base case	4383	4266	97.33%
Tynemouth (J)	Proposal B	4318	4207	97.43%
	Difference	-65	-59	0.10%
	Base case	2656	2589	97.48%
Wallsend (G)	Proposal B	2641	2574	97.46%
	Difference	-15	-15	-0.01%
	Base case	2647	2469	93.28%
Washington (S)	Proposal B	2796	2581	92.31%
······································	Difference	149	112	-0.96%
	Base case	2712	2493	91.92%
West Denton (A)	Proposal B	3825	3547	92.73%
West Denton (A)	Difference	1113	1054	0.81%

#### **Proposal B - Station Impact**

Average Attendance Ti		All Risk	RL1	RL1	RL2	RL2
Station	Proposal	Levels	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>
	Base case	00:05:11	<b>Appliance</b> 00:04:35	<b>Appliance</b> 00:06:57	<b>Appliance</b> 00:04:02	Appliance 00:06:48
Birtley (W)	Proposal B	00:05:11	00:04:35	00:06:57	00:04:02	00:06:55
Diffley (VV)	Difference	00:00:03	00:00:08	00:00:00	00:00:09	00:00:07
	Base case	00:04:02	00:03:29	00:04:27	00:03:29	00:04:16
$P_{\rm V}/cor(E)$	Proposal B	00:04:02	00:03:29	00:04:27	00:03:29	00:04:18
Byker (F)	Difference	00:04:00	00:00:28	00:00:02	00:00:28	00:00:02
	Base case	00:00:02	00:13:17	00:16:17	00:13:36	00:16:43
Chopwoll (7)	Proposal B	00:14:17	00:13:17	00.10.17	00:13:30	00.10.43
Chopwell (Z)	Difference	00:02:33	00:01:46	-	00:01:59	-
				- 00:05:11	00:05:07	- 00:05:18
Earringdon (O)	Base case Proposal B	00:05:02	00:04:49		00:05:07	
Farringdon (Q)	Difference	00:00:03	00:04:50	00:05:09	00:00:07	00:05:16
			00:00:01	-		00:00:02
Gateshead (V)	Base case	00:04:23	00:03:47	00:04:41	00:03:40	00:05:01
	Proposal B	00:04:35	00:04:03	00:04:49	00:03:52	00:05:12
	Difference	00:00:12	00:00:16	00:00:08	00:00:12	00:00:11
Gosforth (E)	Base case	00:05:48	00:05:58	00:07:04	00:05:29	00:07:23
	Proposal B	00:05:44	00:05:59	00:07:14	00:05:30	00:06:51
	Difference	00:00:04	00:00:01	00:00:10	00:00:01	00:00:32
	Base case	00:04:42	00:04:15	00:07:10	00:04:19	00:06:34
Hebburn (T)	Proposal B	00:04:52	00:04:16	00:07:31	00:04:20	00:07:08
	Difference	00:00:10	00:00:01	00:00:21	00:00:01	00:00:34
	Base case	00:04:58	00:04:20	00:06:09	00:04:33	00:05:45
Marley Park (M)	Proposal B	00:04:59	00:04:20	00:06:07	00:04:33	00:05:45
	Difference	00:00:01	00:00:00	00:00:02	00:00:00	00:00:00
Newcastle Central (C)	Base case	00:03:56	00:03:33	00:04:20	00:03:30	00:04:21
	Proposal B	00:03:54	00:03:33	00:04:19	00:03:31	00:04:18
	Difference	00:00:02	00:00:00	00:00:01	00:00:01	00:00:03
	Base case	00:05:34	00:05:25	00:05:48	00:05:17	00:05:58
Rainton Bridge (H)	Proposal B	00:05:36	00:05:23	00:06:33	00:05:19	00:06:12
	Difference	00:00:02	00:00:02	00:00:45	00:00:02	00:00:14
	Base case	00:04:23	00:04:14	00:04:54	00:04:12	00:04:58
South Shields (K)	Proposal B	00:04:22	00:04:13	00:04:52	00:04:12	00:04:57
	Difference	00:00:01	00:00:01	00:00:02	00:00:00	00:00:01
	Base case	00:03:58	00:03:39	00:04:04	00:03:31	00:03:52
Sunderland Central (N)	Proposal B	00:03:58	00:03:39	00:04:05	00:03:32	00:03:53
	Difference	00:00:00	00:00:00	00:00:01	00:00:01	00:00:01
	Base case	00:06:14	00:06:11	00:07:17	00:06:29	00:07:09
Swalwell (Y)	Proposal B	00:06:21	00:06:22	00:07:11	00:06:33	00:07:44
	Difference	00:00:07	00:00:11	00:00:06	00:00:04	00:00:35
	Base case	00:04:18	00:04:04	00:04:33	00:03:57	00:04:16
Tynemouth (J)	Proposal B	00:04:17	00:04:04	00:04:32	00:03:56	00:04:13
	Difference	00:00:01	00:00:00	00:00:01	00:00:01	00:00:03
	Base case	00:04:19	00:03:49	00:06:35	00:03:46	00:06:19
Wallsend (G)	Proposal B	00:04:19	00:03:49	00:06:37	00:03:46	00:06:13
	Difference	00:00:00	00:00:00	00:00:02	00:00:00	00:00:06
	Base case	00:05:08	00:04:51	00:06:42	00:04:47	00:07:02
Washington (S)	Proposal B	00:05:16	00:05:03	00:06:39	00:04:56	00:07:15
	Difference	00:00:08	00:00:12	00:00:03	00:00:09	00:00:13
	Base case	00:05:23	00:04:50	00:08:43	00:04:47	00:09:07
West Denton (A)	Proposal B	00:05:24	00:04:55	00:06:26	00:04:53	00:06:44
	Difference	00:00:01	00:00:05	00:02:17	00:00:06	00:02:23

# Proposal C

Reinstate H to wholetime & W to become DC 0800-2000 and cover provided by Q02 2000-0800

- 15 whole-time cat 01 appliances based at Stn A, Stn C, Stn E, Stn F, Stn G, Stn H, Stn J, Stn K, Stn M, Stn N, Stn Q, Stn S, Stn T, Stn V, and Stn Y.
- 1 day crewing 01 appliances based at Stn W between 08:00hr and 20:00hr.
- 1 whole-time cat 02 appliances based at Stn Q between 08:00 and 20:00hr and relocating to Stn W between 20:00hr 08:00hr.
- 7 whole-time cat 02 appliances based at Stn A, Stn C, Stn F, Stn J, Stn K, Stn N and Stn V.
- 1 on-call cat 02 appliance based at Stn Z to be available on a 5 min recall to duty.

# Service Impact

Proposal C would result in 63 additional callouts within target time. The average attendance time for the Service for all callouts would not change.

Service	Proposal C	Callouts	In Target	% of Callouts in Target	Average Attendance Time
All Risk Levels	Base Case	67255	64416	95.78%	00:04:35
	Proposal C	67255	64479	95.87%	00:04:35
	Difference	0	63	0.09%	00:00:00

# Service Impact by Risk Level

• The average attendance time for the 2<sup>nd</sup> appliance at a Risk Level 1 and Risk incident 2 would reduce by 1 second.

Proposal C – Service Comparison								
Risk Level	Arrival Order	Proposal	Callouts	In Target	% of Callouts in Target	Average Attendance Time		
	1 st	Base case	9983	8524	85.39%	00:04:18		
	Appliance	Proposal C	9983	8503	85.17%	00:04:18		
District		Difference	0	-21	-0.21%	00:00:00		
Risk Level 1	2 <sup>nd</sup> Appliance	Base case	7424	6806	91.68%	00:04:55		
		Proposal C	7424	6810	91.73%	00:04:54		
		Difference	0	4	0.05%	00:00:01		
	1 <sup>st</sup> Appliance	Base case	2947	2590	87.89%	00:04:11		
		Proposal C	2947	2584	87.68%	00:04:11		
Diale Laval 0		Difference	0	-6	-0.20%	00:00:00		
Risk Level 2	2 <sup>nd</sup>	Base case	1562	1442	92.32%	00:04:48		
		Proposal C	1562	1445	92.51%	00:04:47		
	Appliance	Difference	0	3	0.19%	00:00:01		

# Proposal C - Station Impact

Station Overview – Propo Station	Proposal	Callouts	In Target	%
			1639	
Distloy (MA)	Base case	1745		93.93%
Birtley (W)	Proposal C	1429	1341	93.84%
	Difference	-316	-298	-0.08%
	Base case	6636	6508	98.07%
Byker (F)	Proposal C	6562	6438	98.11%
	Difference	-74	-70	0.04%
	Base case	276	72	26.09%
Chopwell (Z)	Proposal C	141	74	52.48%
	Difference	-135	2	26.40%
	Base case	3696	3485	94.29%
Farringdon (Q)	Proposal C	2939	2758	93.84%
	Difference	-757	-727	-0.45%
	Base case	6425	6313	98.26%
Gateshead (V)	Proposal C	6404	6277	98.02%
	Difference	-21	-36	-0.24%
	Base case	3442	3078	89.42%
Gosforth (E)	Proposal C	3311	2953	89.19%
	Difference	-131	-125	-0.24%
	Base case	2290	2230	97.38%
Hebburn (T)	Proposal C	2339	2273	97.18%
10000011 (1)	Difference	49	43	-0.20%
	Base case	1628	1531	94.04%
Marley Park (M)	Proposal C	1667	1569	94.12%
	Difference	39	38	0.08%
		11373	11166	
Newcastle Central (C)	Base case			98.18%
	Proposal C	11176	10986	98.30%
	Difference	-197	-180	0.12%
	Base case	1822	1675	91.93%
Rainton Bridge (H)	Proposal C	1867	1722	92.23%
	Difference	45	47	0.30%
	Base case	5353	5227	97.65%
South Shields (K)	Proposal C	5386	5256	97.59%
	Difference	33	29	-0.06%
	Base case	7660	7517	98.13%
Sunderland Central (N)	Proposal C	8129	7935	97.61%
	Difference	469	418	-0.52%
	Base case	2511	2158	85.94%
Swalwell (Y)	Proposal C	2361	2016	85.39%
	Difference	-150	-142	-0.55%
	Base case	4383	4266	97.33%
Tynemouth (J)	Proposal C	4356	4243	97.41%
•	Difference	-27	-23	0.08%
	Base case	2656	2589	97.48%
Wallsend (G)	Proposal C	2652	2582	97.36%
(-)	Difference	-4	-7	-0.12%
	Base case	2647	2469	93.28%
Washington (S)	Proposal C	2705	2510	92.79%
	Difference	58	41	-0.48%
		2712		1
Most Danton (A)	Base case		2493	91.92%
West Denton (A)	Proposal C Difference	2733 21	2514 21	91.99% 0.06%

Station	Bronosel	All Risk	RL1 1 <sup>st</sup>	RL1 2 <sup>nd</sup>	RL2 1 <sup>st</sup>	RL2 2 <sup>nd</sup>
Station	Proposal	Levels	1 <sup>31</sup> Appliance	Appliance	1 <sup>st</sup> Appliance	Appliance
	Base case	00:05:11	00:04:35	00:06:57	00:04:02	00:06:48
Birtley (W)	Proposal C	00:05:11	00:04:31	00:06:59	00:03:56	00:06:56
	Difference	00:00:00	00:00:04	00:00:02	00:00:06	80:00:00
	Base case	00:04:02	00:03:29	00:04:27	00:03:29	00:04:16
Byker (F)	Proposal C	00:04:00	00:03:28	00:04:26	00:03:29	00:04:17
	Difference	00:00:02	00:00:01	00:00:01	00:00:00	00:00:01
	Base case	00:14:17	00:13:17	00:16:17	00:13:36	00:16:43
Chopwell (Z)	Proposal C	00:11:44	00:11:31	-	00:11:37	-
	Difference	00:02:33	00:01:46	-	00:01:59	-
	Base case	00:05:02	00:04:49	00:05:11	00:05:07	00:05:18
Farringdon (Q)	Proposal C	00:05:01	00:04:48	00:05:10	00:05:13	00:05:06
5 ( )	Difference	00:00:01	00:00:01	00:00:01	00:00:06	00:00:12
	Base case	00:04:23	00:03:47	00:04:41	00:03:40	00:05:01
Gateshead (V)	Proposal C	00:04:26	00:03:49	00:04:44	00:03:38	00:05:03
	Difference	00:00:03	00:00:02	00:00:03	00:00:02	00:00:02
	Base case	00:05:48	00:05:58	00:07:04	00:05:29	00:07:23
Gosforth (E)	Proposal C	00:05:44	00:05:59	00:07:16	00:05:30	00:06:51
	Difference	00:00:04	00:00:01	00:00:12	00:00:01	00:00:32
	Base case	00:04:42	00:04:15	00:07:10	00:04:19	00:06:34
Hebburn (T)	Proposal C	00:04:46	00:04:15	00:07:18	00:04:22	00:06:30
	Difference	00:00:04	00:00:00	00:00:08	00:00:03	00:00:04
	Base case	00:04:58	00:04:20	00:06:09	00:04:33	00:05:45
Marley Park (M)	Proposal C	00:04:58	00:04:20	00:06:09	00:04:33	00:05:45
	Difference	00:04:39	00:00:01	00:00:02	00:00:01	00:00:04
	Base case	00:03:56	00:03:33	00:04:20	00:03:30	00:04:21
Newcastle Central (C)	Proposal C	00:03:58	00:03:33	00:04:20	00:03:30	00:04:21
	Difference	00:00:03	00:00:02	00:00:01	00:00:00	00:00:03
		00:05:34				
Dainton Bridge (U)	Base case		00:05:25	00:05:48	00:05:17	00:05:58
Rainton Bridge (H)	Proposal C Difference	00:05:39	00:05:21	00:06:30	00:05:23	00:06:10
		00:00:05	00:00:04	00:00:42	00:00:06	00:00:12
South Chielde (K)	Base case	00:04:23	00:04:14	00:04:54 00:04:53	00:04:12	00:04:58
South Shields (K)	Proposal C	00:04:24			00:04:10	00:04:59
	Difference	00:00:01	00:00:00	00:00:01	00:00:02	00:00:01
Quadarland Cantral (N)	Base case	00:03:58	00:03:39	00:04:04	00:03:31	00:03:52
Sunderland Central (N)	Proposal C	00:04:07	00:03:44	00:04:27	00:03:33	00:04:12
	Difference	00:00:09	00:00:05	00:00:23	00:00:02	00:00:20
Ourseling II ()()	Base case	00:06:14	00:06:11	00:07:17	00:06:29	00:07:09
Swalwell (Y)	Proposal C	00:06:20	00:06:28	00:06:54	00:06:35	00:07:38
	Difference	00:00:06	00:00:17	00:00:23	00:00:06	00:00:29
$\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{r}}$ are even to the $(1)$	Base case	00:04:18	00:04:04	00:04:33	00:03:57	00:04:16
Tynemouth (J)	Proposal C	00:04:17	00:04:03	00:04:33	00:03:57	00:04:14
	Difference	00:00:01	00:00:01	00:00:00	00:00:00	00:00:02
	Base case	00:04:19	00:03:49	00:06:35	00:03:46	00:06:19
Wallsend (G)	Proposal C	00:04:18	00:03:50	00:06:38	00:03:47	00:06:13
	Difference	00:00:01	00:00:01	00:00:03	00:00:01	00:00:06
	Base case	00:05:08	00:04:51	00:06:42	00:04:47	00:07:02
Washington (S)	Proposal C	00:05:12	00:04:55	00:06:42	00:04:50	00:07:18
	Difference	00:00:04	00:00:04	00:00:00	00:00:03	00:00:16
	Base case	00:05:23	00:04:50	00:08:43	00:04:47	00:09:07
West Denton (A)	Proposal C	00:05:24	00:04:59	00:06:23	00:04:51	00:06:45
	Difference	00:00:01	00:00:09	00:02:20	00:00:04	00:02:22

# Proposal D

Reinstate H to wholetime and W to become On-Call

- 15 whole-time cat 01 appliances based at Stn A, Stn C, Stn E, Stn F, Stn G, Stn H, Stn J, Stn K, Stn M, Stn N, Stn Q, Stn S, Stn T, Stn V, and Stn Y.
- 8 whole-time cat 02 appliances based at Stn A, Stn C, Stn F, Stn J, Stn K, Stn N, Stn Q and Stn V.
- 1 on-call cat 02 appliance based at Stn W to be available on a 30 min recall to duty.
- 1 on-call cat 02 appliance based at Stn Z to be available on a 5 min recall to duty.

# **Service Impact**

Proposal D would result in 204 less callouts within target time. The average attendance time for the Service for all callouts would increase by 2 seconds.

Service	Proposal D	Callouts	In Target	% of Callouts in Target	Average Attendance Time
All Risk Levels	Base Case	67255	64416	95.78%	00:04:35
	Proposal D	67255	64212	95.48%	00:04:37
	Difference	0	-204	-0.30%	00:00:02

## Service Impact by Risk Level

The average attendance time for the 1st appliance at a Risk Level 1 and Risk incident 2 would increase by 3 seconds.

The average attendance time for the 2<sup>nd</sup> appliance at a Risk Level 1 and Risk incident 2 would reduce by 1 second.

Proposal D -	Proposal D – Service Comparison								
Risk Level	Arrival Order	Proposal	Callouts	In Target	% of Callouts in Target	Average Attendance Time			
	1 st	Base case	9983	8524	85.39%	00:04:18			
	1 <sup>st</sup> Appliance	Proposal D	9983	8380	83.94%	00:04:21			
Risk Level 1		Difference	0	-144	-1.44%	00:00:03			
	2 <sup>nd</sup> Appliance	Base case	7424	6806	91.68%	00:04:55			
		Proposal D	7424	6707	90.34%	00:04:54			
		Difference	0	-99	-1.33%	00:00:01			
	1 <sup>st</sup> Appliance	Base case	2947	2590	87.89%	00:04:11			
		Proposal D	2947	2558	86.80%	00:04:14			
Risk Level 2		Difference	0	-32	-1.09%	00:00:03			
RISK LEVELZ	2 <sup>nd</sup> Appliance	Base case	1562	1442	92.32%	00:04:48			
		Proposal D	1562	1433	91.74%	00:04:47			
		Difference	0	-9	-0.58%	00:00:01			

# Proposal D - Station Impact

Station Overview – Propo		Callouta	In Torget	.0
Station	Proposal	Callouts	In Target	8
	Base case	1745	1639	93.93%
Birtley (W)	Proposal D	-	-	-
	Difference	-	-	-
	Base case	6636	6508	98.07%
Byker (F)	Proposal D	6560	6440	98.17%
	Difference	-76	-68	0.10%
	Base case	276	72	26.09%
Chopwell (Z)	Proposal D	141	74	52.48%
	Difference	-135	2	26.40%
	Base case	3696	3485	94.29%
Farringdon (Q)	Proposal D	3701	3472	93.81%
	Difference	5	-13	-0.48%
	Base case	6425	6313	98.26%
Gateshead (V)	Proposal D	7206	6869	95.32%
	Difference	781	556	-2.93%
	Base case	3442	3078	89.42%
Gosforth (E)	Proposal D	3316	2958	89.20%
	Difference	-126	-120	-0.22%
	Base case	2290	2230	97.38%
Hebburn (T)	Proposal D	2487	2353	94.61%
	Difference	197	123	-2.77%
Marley Park (M)	Base case	1628	1531	94.04%
	Proposal D	1641	1545	94.15%
	Difference	13	14	0.11%
	Base case	11373	11166	98.18%
Newcastle Central (C)	Proposal D	11247	11042	98.18%
	Difference	-126	-124	0.00%
	Base case	1822	1675	91.93%
Rainton Bridge (H)		1856	1703	91.76%
Rainton Bhuge (11)	Proposal D Difference	34	28	-0.18%
				-
South Shields (K)	Base case	5353 5318	5227	97.65%
South Shields (K)	Proposal D		5191	97.61%
	Difference	-35	-36	-0.03%
	Base case	7660	7517	98.13%
Sunderland Central (N)	Proposal D	7671	7512	97.93%
	Difference	11	-5	-0.21%
0	Base case	2511	2158	85.94%
Swalwell (Y)	Proposal D	2376	2022	85.10%
	Difference	-135	-136	-0.84%
<b>_</b>	Base case	4383	4266	97.33%
Tynemouth (J)	Proposal D	4351	4238	97.40%
	Difference	-32	-28	0.07%
	Base case	2656	2589	97.48%
Wallsend (G)	Proposal D	2646	2580	97.51%
	Difference	-10	-9	0.03%
	Base case	2647	2469	93.28%
Washington (S)	Proposal D	2902	2659	91.63%
	Difference	255	190	-1.65%
	Base case	2712	2493	91.92%
West Denton (A)	Proposal D	3836	3554	92.65%
	Difference	1124	1061	0.72%

		All Risk	RL1	RL1	RL2	RL2	
Station	Proposal	Levels	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	
			Appliance	Appliance	Appliance	Appliance	
	Base case	00:05:11	00:04:35	00:06:57	00:04:02	00:06:48	
Birtley (W)	Proposal D	-	-	-	-	-	
	Difference	-	-	-	-	-	
	Base case	00:04:02	00:03:29	00:04:27	00:03:29	00:04:16	
Byker (F)	Proposal D	00:04:00	00:03:28	00:04:27	00:03:28	00:04:18	
	Difference	00:00:02	00:00:01	00:00:00	00:00:01	00:00:02	
	Base case	00:14:17	00:13:17	00:16:17	00:13:36	00:16:43	
Chopwell (Z)	Proposal D	00:11:44	00:11:31	-	00:11:37	-	
	Difference	00:02:33	00:01:46	-	00:01:59	-	
	Base case	00:05:02	00:04:49	00:05:11	00:05:07	00:05:18	
Farringdon (Q)	Proposal D	00:05:03	00:04:53	00:05:08	00:05:08	00:05:19	
	Difference	00:00:01	00:00:04	00:00:03	00:00:01	00:00:01	
	Base case	00:04:23	00:03:47	00:04:41	00:03:40	00:05:01	
Gateshead (V)	Proposal D	00:04:44	00:04:21	00:05:01	00:04:06	00:05:23	
	Difference	00:00:21	00:00:34	00:00:20	00:00:26	00:00:22	
	Base case	00:05:48	00:05:58	00:07:04	00:05:29	00:07:23	
Gosforth (E)	Proposal D	00:05:44	00:05:59	00:07:14	00:05:30	00:06:51	
	Difference	00:00:04	00:00:01	00:00:10	00:00:01	00:00:32	
	Base case	00:04:42	00:04:15	00:07:10	00:04:19	00:06:34	
Hebburn (T)	Proposal D	00:05:00	00:04:18	00:07:46	00:04:23	00:07:15	
	Difference	00:00:18	00:00:03	00:00:36	00:00:04	00:00:41	
Marley Park (M)	Base case	00:04:58	00:04:20	00:06:09	00:04:33	00:05:45	
	Proposal D	00:04:59	00:04:20	00:06:07	00:04:33	00:05:45	
	Difference	00:00:01	00:00:00	00:00:02	00:00:00	00:00:00	
	Base case	00:03:56	00:03:33	00:04:20	00:03:30	00:04:21	
Newcastle Central (C)	Proposal D	00:03:55	00:03:34	00:04:20	00:03:30	00:04:19	
	Difference	00:00:01	00:00:01	00:00:00	00:00:00	00:00:02	
	Base case	00:05:34	00:05:25	00:05:48	00:05:17	00:05:58	
Rainton Bridge (H)	Proposal D	00:05:39	00:05:20	00:06:55	00:05:23	00:05:59	
	Difference	00:00:05	00:00:05	00:01:07	00:00:06	00:00:01	
	Base case	00:04:23	00:04:14	00:04:54	00:04:12	00:04:58	
South Shields (K)	Proposal D	00:04:23	00:04:14	00:04:53	00:04:10	00:04:57	
	Difference	00:00:00	00:00:00	00:00:01	00:00:02	00:00:01	
	Base case	00:03:58	00:03:39	00:04:04	00:03:31	00:03:52	
Sunderland Central (N)	Proposal D	00:03:59	00:03:40	00:04:06	00:03:32	00:03:56	
	Difference	00:00:01	00:00:01	00:00:02	00:00:01	00:00:04	
	Base case	00:06:14	00:06:11	00:07:17	00:06:29	00:07:09	
Swalwell (Y)	Proposal D	00:06:21	00:06:21	00:07:19	00:06:36	00:07:32	
	Difference	00:00:07	00:00:10	00:00:02	00:00:07	00:00:23	
	Base case	00:04:18	00:04:04	00:04:33	00:03:57	00:04:16	
Tynemouth (J)	Proposal D	00:04:17	00:04:04	00:04:32	00:03:57	00:04:14	
<b>,</b> ()	Difference	00:00:01	00:00:00	00:00:01	00:00:00	00:00:02	
Wallsend (G)	Base case	00:04:19	00:03:49	00:06:35	00:03:46	00:06:19	
	Proposal D	00:04:19	00:03:49	00:06:34	00:03:46	00:06:13	
	Difference	00:00:00	00:00:00	00:00:01	00:00:00	00:00:06	
	Base case	00:05:08	00:04:51	00:06:42	00:04:47	00:07:02	
Washington (S)	Proposal D	00:05:21	00:05:11	00:06:43	00:05:06	00:07:02	
	Difference	00:00:13	00:00:20	00:00:01	00:00:19	00:00:11	
	Base case	00:05:23	00:04:50	00:08:43	00:04:47	00:09:07	
West Denton (A)	Proposal D	00:05:25	00:04:50	00:06:24	00:04:47	00:06:45	
	Difference	00:00:02	00:00:10	00:02:19	00:00:04	00:00:43	